

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/791,269	03/03/2004	Hyun-Jei Chung	1568.1086	8941	
49455 STEIN MCEV	7590 12/08/2008 WEN & BUI, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STI		HODGE, ROBERT W			
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,269	CHUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT HODGE	1795		

	KOBEKT HODGE	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires om: (1) the mailing date of this Ac on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 Of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of eletermining the period red under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above; if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c 	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle non-allowable claim(s). 			
 Zero proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [End proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [End proposed amendment(s): a) [l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	door NOT place the application in	condition for allowen	oo booouso:
See Continuation Sheet.	. uoes ivo i piace trie application in	CONTRIBUTION ANDWAR	ue pecause.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			

/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Application No. 10/791,269

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendment to claim 16 requires further consideration. Applicants canneted that the intermediate product of Kozu is not an operable battery but provide no evidence to support this allegation. Applicants are claiming a product and therefore the structure of said product need only be found in the prior art to read on the instant claims, which has been done. The fact that Kozu discloses an intermediate product that is a pouch battery having the same structure as the instant claims but has not been sealed in an additional outer case does not yield the battery probable. Since the pouch of Kozu has already been sealed all of the active materials including the electrolyte are present and therefore the battery is in fact operational. Applicants still have not met their burden of proof to show any unexpected results over the closest prior art of record to Kozu.